

**Summary upon the 10. WIPO-PatCom Meeting at Geneva
September 25, 2012, 10:00 am – 12:50 pm**

Agreed Version

WIPO Participants:

Mr. Yo Takagi, Assistant Director General, Global Intellectual Property Infrastructure Sector;
Mr. Alejandro Roca Campaña, Senior Director-Advisor, Global Intellectual Property Infrastructure Sector;
Mr. Ángel López Solanas, Head, Standards and Documentation Service;
Mr. Andrew Czajkowski, Global IP Infrastructure Services;
Mr. Christophe Mazenc, Head Information Technology Support Section, Global IP Information Service;
Mr. Antonios Farassopoulos, Head, International Classifications and WIPO Standards Service, Global Intellectual Property Infrastructure Sector;
Ms. Ning Xu, Acting Head, International Patent Classification Section, International Classifications and WIPO Standards Service

PatCom Participants:

Mr. Willem-Geert Lagemaat, Lighthouse IP Group, PatCom President, NL;
Mr. Armin Förderer, FIZ Karlsruhe, PatCom Executive Committee, DE;
Mr. Olivier Huc, minesoft, UK; (+ASPI)
Ms. Martine Massiera Della Valle, Questel, PatCom Executive Committee, F; (+ASPI)
Mr. Jurjen Dijkstra, LexisNexis, PatCom Executive Committee, NL; (+ASPI)
Mr. Paul Peters, CAS, US; (+ASPI)
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Georg F. Schultheiss, PatCom Honorary Secretary, DE.

Slot 10:00 to 12:00 am:

Yo Takagi opened the session with PatCom and welcomes all participants. All delegation members participating introduced themselves.

1. Approval of the Agenda

The Agenda (see **Appendix 1**) was unanimously approved.

2. Approval of the Minutes of the last Meeting

The agreed version of the minutes of the 2012 spring meeting has been unanimously agreed.

3. Actions from the last Meeting

Willem Lagemaat explained that the “Raw Data Supply” by EPO is a more political oriented issue, there are no technical limitations, it is performed at marginal costs, although price lists show differences.

Yo Takagi added that Japanese and Chinese disseminations are getting more important and are IP5 topics.

As *Rob Willows* could not participate *Georg Schultheiss* shortly informed about EPO' s ESP@CENET statistics and the material shown to PatCom at the last regular meeting in March 2012.

4. IPC Development

Antonios Farassopoulos reported, that there is nothing new in the service for reclassification. WIPO sees a certain competition between JPO and EPO in the use of FI or CPC.

He announced the third IPC Workshop for February 25./26., 2013. Details will be given soon and he would very much appreciate to have presentations also from the commercial sector.

It is planned to have a 5-year revision program for IPC to solve problems for developing countries like Brazil. The release momentarily takes 13 to 14 months and the complete revision about six months. *Armin Förderer* asked for the “developing countries”. These are BRIC.

Yo Takagi sees CPC in the critical phase but on track and *Willem Lagemaat* shortly explained the procedure as given by EPO in September 2011.

5. WIPO-EPO Cooperation

Yo Takagi said that a first MoU has been signed and details are added later piece by piece.

6. Experiences of WIPO - Commercial Sector Cooperation

Andrew Czajkowski presented a list of ASPI co-operations which is added as **Appendix 2**. In the ARDI program the access to sci-tech journals has 166 users free of charge. There is a move to commercials seen.

Yo Takagi says that private-public-partnership (PPP) has only a short history but he sees it as a “cultivation of the land” for commercials. *Willem Lagemaat* sees the necessity of evaluating the success.

Andrew Czajkowski explains that a first evaluation has been done for the first three years. *Alejandro Roca Campaña* added that a second phase has been approved to deliver information for business. The TISC evaluation can be found under:

http://www.wipo.int/meetings/en/doc_details.jsp?doc_id=203099

7. Standards Development

Ángel López Solanas summarized the actual activities. His written report is given in **Appendix 3** of the minutes. He announced a CWS meeting for March 2013. The invitation for observers will be send out two months in advance.

8. PATENTSCOPE – Experiences and Development, Usage Statistics

Christophe Mazenc explained that:

- there is work going on for the search engine
- an increased site prepared for up to 100 Mio items
- the first batch of Japanese items (2004-2012) is included
- the second batch will be 1993-2003
- the US-collection will follow .

Statistics are made quarterly. The quarter II/2012 shows:

- 400 000 unique IP addresses
- 196 Mio visits
- 20 Mio page views

Geographically:	Africa	20.000 visits
	Asia/Pacific	230.000
	Central Asia	30.000
	Central Europe	20.000
	China	160.000
	Latin America	30.000 visits
	Industr. Countries	1 Mio

A lot is still unknown.

WIPO continues work on machine translation and will add two new languages: Japanese and German.

A One-Day-Patentscope-Workshop is planned for Spring 2013 as result of a meeting with PDG. Agenda proposals are welcome also from PatCom.

9. AOB:

- Next IPC workshop may be in February 2013 together with a WIPO-PatCom meeting , may be on February 27, 10:00 am.

Slot 12:00 to 01:00 pm

10. ftp-Server Installation at WIPO

Yo Takagi relying on the written proposal with PatCom comments explained that non-IP5 countries are a little more difficult and need one-by-one MoU, especially for developing countries. He reminded that WIPO will not do any financial transaction and pricing discussion but they want like in ASPI benefits for the partners, e.g. 3 seats and free of charge data. WIPO like to continue the discussion with PatCom members and WIPO intends not to involve EPO in the FTP-server proposal. WIPO does not want to lose own control. The question is: Are some members of PatCom interested in exploring the issue?

Willem Lagemaat answered: Yes! There is interest but not in the ASPI way, as is shown along the arguments in the compiled paper. With the example of Vietnam he explains the to and back between the national office and WIPO. This shall be cleared towards a one-way.

Olivier Huc requests a facilitation of the licensing method either for single office or for collectives. The system shall be open and a plain field to all players. We are discussing raw data on the competitive edge of Patentscope.

Yo Takagi asked for a counter-proposal from PatCom side and the possible offers. He sees WIPO as mediator. *Alejandro Roca Campañá* strengthens the need of some benefits for the respective countries. WIPO provides only raw data, the commercials provide better products.

Willem Lagemaat sees the necessity of PatCom homework and intensive management information to get a decision on participation and contribution to a basket of benefits (monetary values). This might lead to a MoU between WIPO and PatCom. The PatCom constitution has to be checked if it needs actualization, too.

Yo Takagi and *Willem Lagemaat* thanked the participants for their helpful discussion.

The meeting adjourned at 12:50 pm.

List of Actions:

Page 4: PatCom decides upon MoU and may prepare a proposal.

Appendix 1

Agenda 10. WIPO-Patcom Meeting at Geneva on 25.09.2012 , 10:00 am

Slot 10:00 am to 12:00 am:

1. Approval of the Agenda
2. Approval of the Minutes of the last Meeting
3. Actions from the last Meeting:
 - PatCom will discuss "Raw Data Supply" and access to data with EPO in March 2012 (Willem Lagemaat)
 - Rob Willows to give examples of high level ESPACENET statistics for Christophe Mazenc to evaluate if similar statistics can be developed and shared by WIPO.
4. IPC Development
5. WIPO-EPO Cooperation
6. Experiences of WIPO - Commercial Sector cooperation
7. Standards Development
8. Patent Scope – Experiences and Development, Usage Statistics
9. AOB:
 - a) Next Meeting: PatCom proposes March 5, 2013

Slot 12:00 am to 1:00 pm:

10. ftp-Server Installation at WIPO

Georg F. Schultheiss
Hon. Secretary of PatCom

Lueneburg, 02.10.2012

List of ASPI Subscribers (Status 25.09.2012)

Country		Name of Institute	Database(s)	Current Status	Remarks
	1.	ARIPO	Not yet known	Pending	In discussion with providers
Afghanistan	2.	MSD Consultancy	1. Totalpatent 2. Wipsglobal	Pending	Additional information requested on Feb. 1, 2012
Bangladesh	3.	Department of Patents, Designs & Trademarks	Totalpatent	Pending	- Awaiting signed user agreement - Reminder sent on Apr. 30, 2012
Belarus	4.	National Center of Intellectual Property	Orbitcom	Pending	Awaiting signed user agreement
Burkina Faso	5.	DIRECTION GENERALE DE LA PROPRIETE INDUSTRIELLE (DGPI)	1. Totalpatent 2. Thomsoninnovation	Pending	Awaiting signed user agreement
Cameroon	6.	Comité National de Développement des Technologie (CNDT)	1. Orbitcom 2. Patbase	- Active - Active	As of September 3, 2012
Colombia	7.	Corporación Tecnova Universidad-Empresa-Estado	Thomsoninnovation	Pending	Signing of agreement with Thomson Reuters in process
	8.	Superintendencia de Industria y Comercio	1. Orbitcom 2. Thomsoninnovation	- Active - Pending	- As of Dec. 2011 - Signing of agreement with Thomson Reuters in process
	9.	Universidad Industrial de Santander	1. Orbitcom 2. Thomsoninnovation	- Pending - Pending	Awaiting signed user agreement
	10	Universidad de los Andes	1. Totalpatent 2. Thomsoninnovation	Pending	Awaiting signed user agreement.

			on		
Costa Rica	11	Registro de Propiedad Industrial	1.Patbase 2.Thomsoninnovation	- Active - Active	- As of Sep. 2012 - As of Mar. 2012
Dominican Republic	12	Oficina Nacional de la Propiedad Industrial ONAPI	1. Orbitcom 2. Patbase	- Active - Active	- As of Feb. 2012 - As of Apr. 2012
Ethiopia	13	Ethiopian Intellectual Property Office	Totalpatent	Pending	- Awaiting signed user agreement. - Reminder sent on Apr. 30, 2012
Georgia	14	NATIONAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CENTER OF GEORGIA (SAKPATENTI)	1. Patbase 2. Wipsglobal	Pending	Awaiting signed user agreement
	15	Akaki Tsereteli State University	1. Totalpatent 2.Wipsglobal	Pending	Awaiting signed user agreement
Honduras	16	Instituto de le Propiedad (Direccion General de Propiedad Industrial	Totalpatent	Pending	Signing of user agreement with LexisNexis is in process
Kenya	17	African Agricultural Technology Foundation	1. Totalpatent 2.Thomsoninnovation	-Unknown - Active	- User agreement was sent by LexisNexis in June 2011. - As of Aug. 2011
Kyrgyzstan	18	State Intellectual Property Fund under the State Intellectual Property Service of the Kyrgyz Republic	Thomsoninnovation	Pending	- Awaiting signed user agreement. - - - Reminder sent on Apr. 30, 2012
	19	National Institute of Intellectual Property	Patbase	Pending	Awaiting user agreement
Madagascar	20	Centre d'Information et	1.Patbase 2. Totalpatent	- Active - Active	- As of May4

		de Documentation Scientifique et Technique (CIDST)			
	21	Office Malgache de la Propriété Industrielle	1.Orbitcom 2.Thomsoninnovation	Active Unknown	
	22	Institut National des Sciences et Techniques Nucléaires	1.Patbase 2.Thomsoninnovation	- Active - Active	
	23	Centre National de Recherches Appliquée au Développement Rural (FO FI FA)	Patbase	Active	
Mozambique	24	Industrial Property Institute	1. Orbitcom	- Active	- As of Apr. 30, 2012
	25	Instituto Superior Politecnico de Tete	1. Totalpatent 2. Wipsglobal	Pending	Awaiting user agreement
	26	Universidade Catolica de Mocambique	Thomsoninnovation	Pending	- Awaiting signed user agreement
Senegal	27	Ecole Polytechnique de Thiès (EPT)	1. Totalpatent 2. Wipsglobal	Pending	- Awaiting signed user agreement. - - Reminder sent on Apr. 30, 2012
	28	Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Sénégal	Totalpatent	Pending	- Awaiting signed user agreement. - Reminder sent on Apr. 30, 2012
	29	Institut de Technologie Alimentaire (ITA)	1. Patbase 2. Orbitcom	- Active - Active	- Ass of May 22, 2012 - As of May 22, 2012
Tunisia	30	National Institute for Standardization and Industrial Property	1. Patbase 2. Orbitcom	- Active - Active	- As of Apr. 2012 - As of Apr. 2012
Uzbekistan	31	State Patent Office of the	Orbitcom	Active	As of May 14, 2012

	Republic of Uzbekistan			
--	---------------------------	--	--	--

Appendix 3

to Agenda Point 7

Standards Development

Report on the main WIPO Standards Development within the framework of the Committee on WIPO Standards (CWS):

- Next meeting of the Committee on WIPO Standards (CWS).
- New WIPO Standard ST.96: “Recommendation for the processing of industrial property information using XML (eXtensible Markup Language)”.
- Future WIPO Standard ST.26 for the “presentation of nucleotide and amino acid sequence listings in patent applications”.
- Revision of WIPO Standard ST.14 (“References cited in patent documents”).
- Patent legal status information.
- Revision of [WIPO Standard ST.9](#) (“Bibliographic data on and relating to patents and SPCs” – INID codes)

Next meeting of the Committee on WIPO Standards (CWS)

Next meeting of the CWS is, in principle, expected to be held in March 2013.

As usual, PatCom will receive the corresponding invitation to attend the meeting as observer some two months before the meeting.

New WIPO Standard ST.96

New WIPO Standard ST.96 was adopted by the CWS in May this year. This standard provides a recommendation for the XML resources to be used for filing, processing, publication and exchange of information regarding all life cycle of patents, trademarks and industrial designs.

WIPO Standard ST.96 comprises a main body and six annexes. In the main body, the Standard describes general features and principle requirements. More comprehensive recommendations or technical guidance are provided in the Annexes described below. In May, the CWS adopted the main body of the Standard and

Annexes I to IV. The two remaining Annexes V (the Schema Implementation Rules and Guidelines) and VI (the Transformation Rules and Guidelines) are under discussion by the XML4IP TF, which will present a proposal for each annex for consideration and adoption by the CWS at its next session in 2013.

Future WIPO Standard ST.26

The SEQL Task Force is preparing a proposal on the presentation of nucleotide and amino acid sequence listings based on XML for adoption as a new WIPO Standard ST.26.

The EPO, the JPO and the USPTO conducted public consultations on the proposed draft standard during the spring/summer period. The JPO and the USPTO have already posted a summary of the feedback received from the public; the EPO will do it soon. On September 14, the USPTO already proposed a revision of the draft Standard in an attempt to address issues raised in response to the USPTO request for public comment. The current plan is that the EPO will invite the SEQL TF members to discuss the proposals by the USPTO, as well as the proposals that the JPO or the EPO may post soon, in October. The goal is to work out mutually agreeable solutions to the different issues raised by the public and to present a proposal for consideration and adoption by the CWS at its third session in 2013.

New ST.26 will also have an impact on current ST.25; the form of addressing the said impact is still under consideration by the Task Force, as well as the necessary transition agreements to move from current ST.25 to new ST.26. These transition recommendations should be discussed by Task Force Members keeping a close collaboration with the PCT.

Revision of WIPO Standard ST.14

There is one CWS Task Force preparing a proposal for the revision of category codes provided in paragraph 14 of WIPO Standard ST.14. In particular, the CWS considered that it would be useful to introduce a distinction in search reports between documents cited for novelty and documents cited for their relevance to inventive step when taken alone, as this distinction would help to clarify the specific relevance of cited documents.

The CWS also requested the TF to study the convenience of revising the recommendations for the identification of non-patent literature citations in order to bring WIPO Standard ST. 14 in line with the International Standard ISO 690:2010 (Information and documentation – Guidelines for bibliographic references and citations to information resources).

Patent legal status information

The IB received a letter from the PDG expressing the views of the IMPACT Working Group on the importance of high quality legal status information for decision making by industry management and proposing a new initiative aimed at:

- enhancing the coverage of legal status data and
- formulating a minimum set of legal status data to be delivered by offices to central repositories such as INPADOC and PATENTSCOPE.

The IB agrees with the PDG that it should be useful to bring the proposal to the consideration by the CWS and to consider whether WIPO Member States would accept the development of an international standard regarding a minimum set of legal status data to be exchanged by IPOs.

Revision of [WIPO Standard ST.9](#)

The German Patent and Trademark Office will likely present a proposal for the revised definitions of the ST.9 INID codes (and the revised entry “Supplementary Protection Certificate” to the Glossary). The revision intends to bring certain INID codes provide by ST.9 in line with the European Union regulations regarding Supplementary Protection Certificates, including making reference to medicinal products and to plant protection products.